

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,141	04/23/2001	Shuichi Shiitani	1359.1044	1624
21171	7590 12/13/2007		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			GART, MATTHEW S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	JN, DC 20003		3625	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/839,141	SHIITANI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew S. Gart	3625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Sec</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 17-25 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 17-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	on from consideration. The election requirement. The epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

09/839,141 Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Prosecution History Summary

- Claims 1-10 and 17-25 are pending in the instant application.
- Claims 11-16 were previously canceled.

09/839,141 Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 and 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maes (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0002502) in view of Shultz (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0061211).

Referring to claim 1. Maes discloses an online shopping method, comprising:

- Previously generating/preparing article data in which features of an article picture
 and a data sending rank are related to each article picture as additional
 information (Maes: paragraph 0048 through paragraph 0050 and paragraph 0057
 through paragraph 0059);
- Upon receiving an article search by a user, generating article picture
 arrangement data of all search resultant article pictures in which similar features
 of the search resultant article pictures are disposed on a two-dimensional plane
 (Maes: paragraph 0051 through paragraph 0052 and paragraph 0059);
- Sending the article picture arrangement data to a user terminal (Maes: paragraph
 0051 through paragraph 0052 and paragraph 0059), said article picture

09/839,141 Art Unit: 3625

arrangement data indicating arrangement positions of said search resultant article pictures on a screen of the user terminal; and

 Displaying each of the search resultant article picture at each arrangement position on the screen of the user terminal as initial search results in a receiving order (Maes: paragraph 0054 through paragraph 0055).

Maes does not expressly disclose an online shopping method, comprising:

- Upon receiving an article search by a user, determining similarities among a
 plurality of search resultant article pictures, the <u>more</u> similar the results are in the
 features, the <u>more</u> closely the results are disposed and sending the search
 resultant article pictures in accordance with the data sending rank;
- Sending the search resultant article pictures in accordance with said order of the data sending rank; and
- Displaying each of the search resultant article picture at each display position in accordance with the article picture arrangement data on a screen of the user terminal, thereby displaying an article picture with a higher data sending rank earlier at the display position.

Shultz discloses an online shopping method, comprising:

09/839,141 Art Unit: 3625

- Upon receiving an article search by a user, determining similarities among a
 plurality of search resultant article pictures, the <u>more</u> similar the results are in the
 features, the <u>more</u> closely the results are disposed and sending the search
 resultant article pictures in accordance with the data sending rank (Shultz:
 paragraph 0060);
- Sending the search resultant article pictures in accordance with said order of the data sending rank; (Shultz: paragraph 0061); and
- Displaying each of the search resultant article picture at each display position in accordance with the article picture arrangement data on a screen of the user terminal, thereby displaying an article picture with a higher data sending rank earlier at the display position (Shultz: paragraph 0061).

The Examiner notes, Shultz discloses a method wherein information may subsequently be sorted according to user preference and/or a predefined search result sorting routine. Such sorting may pertain to specific sorting criteria, for example, by order of importance, relevance or hierarchy of the information retrieved from database 133. Example sorting criterion might include, a distance from the user identified location (e.g., step 232), corresponding advertising information (e.g., step 234) and/or business information (e.g., step 236). Business information may be sorted according to various criteria, for example, alphabetical criteria, such as by the name of the business, size criteria, such as the size of the business, price criteria, time criteria, event criteria, or any other sorting criteria that might be helpful to a user (Shultz: paragraph 0060). At the

09/839,141 Art Unit: 3625

time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the system of Maes to have included the teachings of Shultz because, unfortunately conventional search engines often return a wide range of unusable search results (Shultz: paragraph 0006).

Referring to claim 2. Maes further discloses an online shopping method wherein the features of the article picture arrangement data is generated in accordance with a combination of a similarity of a feature of each resultant article picture and at least one selected from the group consisting, a keyword representing the feature of the article, and article classification information regarding classification of the article in accordance with the features thereof, or a combination thereof (Maes: paragraph 0048 through paragraph 0052).

Referring to claim 3. Maes further discloses an online shopping method wherein the data sending rank is determined by an article provider (Maes: paragraphs 0050).

Referring to claim 4. Maes further discloses an online shopping method wherein a service user previously selects items to be considered in purchasing an article and notifies a service provider of the selection, and the service provider determines the data sending rank in accordance with the notified items (Maes: paragraph 0054).

09/839,141 Art Unit: 3625

Referring to claim 5. Maes further discloses an online shopping method wherein a service provider previously analyzes items considered by a service user based on a past search history and a past purchase history of the service user, and the service provider determines the data sending rank in accordance with the analyzed items (Maes: paragraph 0097).

Referring to claim 6. Maes further discloses an online shopping method wherein a screen of article search results is presented to an article provider, and the article provider specifies a picture of an article which the article provider provides on the presented screen of article search results, thereby making it possible to access selling information of the article (Maes: Figure 3 through Figure 6).

Referring to claim 7. Maes further discloses an online shopping method wherein the data sending rank is determined by an article provider ((Maes: paragraph 0048 through paragraph 0052).

Referring to claim 8. Maes further discloses an online shopping method wherein a service user previously selects items to be considered in purchasing an article and notifies a service provider of the selection, and the service provider determines the data sending rank in accordance with the notified items (Maes: paragraph 0054 through paragraph 0055).

09/839,141 Art Unit: 3625

Referring to claim 9. Maes further discloses an online shopping method wherein a service provider previously analyzes items considered by a service user based on a past search history and a past purchase history of the service user, and the service provider determines the data sending rank in accordance with the analyzed items (Maes: paragraph 0097).

Referring to claim 10. Maes further discloses an online shopping method wherein a screen of article search results is presented to an article provider, and the article provider specifies a picture of an article which the article provider provides on the presented screen of article search results, thereby making it possible to access selling information of the article (Maes: Figure 3 through Figure 6).

Referring to claims 17-20. Claims 17-20 are rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-10.

Referring to claims 21-22. Claims 21-22 are rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-10.

Referring to claim 23. Claims 23 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-10.

09/839,141 Art Unit: 3625 Page 9

Referring to claim 24. Claims 24 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-10.

Referring to claim 25. Claims 25 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-10.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/28/2007 with respect to the pending claims were considered but are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Shultz does not teach or suggest determining similarities of resultant article pictures.

The Examiner notes, Shultz discloses a method wherein information may subsequently be sorted according to user preference and/or a predefined search result sorting routine, whereby said sorting routine results in a similarity calculation. Such sorting (or calculating) may pertain to specific sorting criteria, for example, by order of importance, relevance or hierarchy of the information retrieved from database 133. Example sorting criterion might include, a distance from the user identified location (e.g., step 232), corresponding advertising information (e.g., step 234) and/or business information (e.g., step 236). Business information may be sorted according to various criteria, for example, alphabetical criteria, such as by the name of the business, size criteria, such as the size of the business, price criteria, time criteria, event criteria, or any other sorting criteria that might be helpful to a user (Shultz: paragraph 0060). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the system of Maes to have included the teachings of Shultz because, unfortunately conventional search engines often return a wide range of unusable search results (Shultz: paragraph 0006).

09/839,141 Art Unit: 3625

The Applicant argues that Shultz does not teach or suggest how the information is transmitted including "data sending rank" independent of "display positions."

The Examiner notes, Shultz discloses a method (Shultz: paragraph 0058) wherein the combination function may, optionally, also introduce a random alternation of the progeny attributes. Introducing a random alteration can help the user explore the product space. In one embodiment, the system always performs some random alteration of the progeny attribute values. In another embodiment, the system performs some random alteration of the progeny attribute values when it has determined that the user is exploring the product space, rather than trying to identify a specific product. Therefore the display positions may be randomized independent of the data sending rank.

09/839,141 Art Unit: 3625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew S. Gart whose telephone number is 571-272-3955. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MSG Primary Examiner AU 3625

> MATTHEW S. GATT PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY CENTER 3600 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600